## AFS 2021 Presidential Lecture: The Evolution of FLIP in Motility Diagnostics

Peter J. Kahrilas, M.D. Northwestern University Chicago, USA

## Peter J. Kahrilas: Financial Disclosures

- Consultant: Ironwood Pharmaceuticals, Reckitt Benchiser, Johnson & Johnson
- *Investment/ownership*: none
- Speakers Bureau: none
- *Research grant Support (clinical trials)*: Ironwood
- *Patents*: Shared patent on FLIP with Drs Pandolfino and Lin

## Hydrostat: a Modified Barostat

The impetus for FLIP technology



## Hydrostat: a Modified Barostat

The impetus for FLIP technology



## Hydrostat: a Modified Barostat

The impetus for FLIP technology



## Fluoroscopic images of Hydrostat:normal subject



*RPP #14 v7/3/19 PJK* 



FLIP #11 v10/1/19 PJK

http://www.crospon.com/catheters.htm

## EGJ distensibility index as criterion for achalasia Lower limit of normal = 2.8 mm<sup>2</sup>/mmHg



FLIP #6 v9/11/18 PJK

Carlson DA et al. Am J Gastroenterol 2016;111:1726

# FLIP in the evaluation of dysphagia and EMDs *Applications*

- Achalasia diagnosis and subtyping
  - Motility assessment in lieu of manometry

#### FLIP assessment of EGJ in achalasia *Complementary test in diagnosis and outcome assessment* Untreated **Poor Response** Good Response CH4RG\_LIVE\_DATA\_1.TXT ACH44JD\_LIVE\_DATA\_1.TXT 25.0 23.9 17.8 15.8 14.0 **13.2** 15.1 18.2 **26.0** 2011:07:19-11:45:06|487 2011:10:04-13:18:52|510 2012:02:07-12:11:29|944 24.9 23.4 23.5 OON PRES. 192 mm Volume: 40 ml Volume: 40 ml Volume: 40 ml $CSA = 24 \text{ mm}^2$ CSA= 137 mm<sup>2</sup> $CSA = 21 \text{ mm}^2$ Pressure =22.8 mmHg Pressure =19.2 mmHg Pressure =63.1 mmHg EGJ distensibility index=1.05 EGJ distensibility index = 7.1 EGJ distensibility index = 0.33

FLIP #17 v 1/6/21

Pandolfino JE et al, Neurogastroenterol Mot 2013;25:496-e368

Assessment of treatment response in achalasia FLIP vs HRM: 52 pts 13 (4-204) mof after treatment

EGJ-DI better associated with outcome than IRP or LES pressure



## FLIP in the evaluation of dysphagia and EMDs Applications

- Achalasia diagnosis and subtyping
  - Motility assessment in lieu of manometry
- Achalasia treatment assessment
  - Intraoperative
  - Recurrence

## FLIP Panometry: esophageal *diameter* topography



FLIP #21 v 1/6/21

## FLIP in the evaluation of dysphagia and EMDs Applications

- Achalasia diagnosis and subtyping
  - Motility assessment in lieu of manometry
- Achalasia treatment assessment
  - Intraoperative
  - Recurrence
- Functional assessment of EGJ outflow obstruction

## Flip Panometry Assessment of EGJ Obstruction *Methodology*

- 687 patients (245 with outflow obstruction on HRM, 314 nl on HRM, 128 inconclusive) and 35 controls with FLIP and HRM studies included
- Flip data exported and analyzed with open source software: <u>http://www.wklytics.com/nmgi</u>
- Two metrics: Distensibility index (DI) at 60 ml and Max EGJ diameter achieved at 60ml or 70ml
  - Areas of dry catheter artifact excluded

### Flip Panometry Assessment of EGJ Obstruction Determining Distensibility Index (EGJ-DI) and Max diameter- normal



## Flip Panometry Assessment of EGJ Obstruction *Distensibility Index (DI) and Max diameter- Achalasia*



## Flip Panometry Assessment of EGJ Obstruction *Distensibility Index (DI) and Max diameter- Scleroderma*



## Reduced EGJ distensibility in achalasia



#### Controls

*Median (5<sup>th</sup>-95<sup>th</sup> percentile)* EGJ-DI 5.6 (2.9-9.3 mm<sup>2</sup>/mmHg Max Diam 18.1 (12.9-21.9) mm

### 🔺 Achalasia

*Median (5<sup>th</sup>-95<sup>th</sup> percentile)* EGJ-DI 0.9 (0.3-2.7 mm<sup>2</sup>/mmHg Max Diam 6.0 (4.8-9.7) mm

Single metric: EGJ-DI<2.0 mm<sup>2</sup>/mmHg Controls 0% (0/42) Achalasia 91% (219/240)

## Flip Panometry Classification of EGJ Obstruction Based on 687 patients and 35 controls

- Normal EGJ Outflow (NEO)= EGJ-DI ≥2mm<sup>2</sup>/mmHg and Max diameter ≥16 mm
- Borderline EGJ Outflow (BEO)= EGJ-DI <2mm<sup>2</sup>/mmHg or Max diameter <16 mm</li>
- Reduced EGJ Outflow (REO)= EGJ-DI <2mm<sup>2</sup>/mmHg and Max diameter <12 mm

## Flip Panometry Assessment of EGJ Obstruction vs HRM diagnosis of Disordered EGJ Outflow 687 patients and 35 controls

#### Normal EGJ outflow on Disorder of EGJ outflow Normal HRM CC v4.0 on HRM CC v4.0 EGJ opening Normal 11 (NEO) EGJ opening 10 (NEO) 10 EGJ DI- 60 ml (mm²/mmHg) C 9 Controls SSc O Patients 7 EGJ-DI (60ml) Borderline **EGJ** opening Borderline (BEO) EGJ opening (BEO Reduced Reduced (REO) (REO) 24 22 Maximum EGJ Diameter (mm) Maximum EGJ Diameter (mm)

FLIP #27 v 7/7/21

Carlson DA, et al. Clin Gastroenterol and Hepatol 2021: In Press

## Flip Panometry Classification of EGJ Obstruction Based on 687 patients and 35 controls

- Normal EGJ Outflow (NEO)= EGJ-DI ≥2mm<sup>2</sup>/mmHg and Max diameter ≥16 mm
- Borderline EGJ Outflow (BEO)= EGJ-DI <2mm<sup>2</sup>/mmHg or Max diameter <16 mm</li>
- Reduced EGJ Outflow (REO)= EGJ-DI <2mm<sup>2</sup>/mmHg and Max diameter <12 mm</li>

Among the 241 patients with REO, 86% had a conclusive HRM disorder of EGJ outflow per CCv4.0

Among the 203 patients with NEO, 99% had normal HRM EGJ outflow per CCv4.0

## FLIP in the evaluation of dysphagia and EMDs Applications

- Achalasia diagnosis and subtyping
  - Motility assessment in lieu of manometry
- Achalasia treatment assessment
  - Intraoperative
  - Recurrence
- Functional assessment of EGJ outflow obstruction
- Functional assessment of secondary peristalsis

## Flip Panometry Assessment of Peristalsis Methodology

- 706 patients (245 with achalasia 1-3 on HRM, 178 nl on HRM) and 35 controls (3 with IEM) with FLIP and HRM studies included
- Flip data exported and analyzed with open source software: <u>http://www.wklytics.com/nmgi</u>
- 50-70 ml volumes evaluated for contractile response (CR) patterns
  - Normal Contractile Response (NCR)
  - Borderline Contractile Response (BCR)
  - Impaired/Disordered Contractile Response (IDCR)
  - Spastic Reactive Contractile Response (SRCR)
- Studies read blindly by 4 authors and 3 trained outside reviewers

#### Flip Panometry Assessment of Peristalsis Methodology- Normal Contractile Response (NCR) 5 10 Diameter (mm) 5 10 25 30



Carlson DA, et al. Neurogastroenterol Mot 2021: In Press

## Flip Panometry Assessment of Peristalsis

Methodology-Borderline Contractile Response (BCR)



Carlson DA, et al. Neurogastroenterol Mot 2021: In Press

## Flip Panometry Assessment of Peristalsis

Methodology-Impaired/Disordered Contractile Response (IDCR)



Carlson DA, et al. Neurogastroenterol Mot 2021: In Press

## Flip Panometry Assessment of Peristalsis

Methodology-Absent Contractile Response (ACR)



Carlson DA, et al. Neurogastroenterol Mot 2021: In Press

## Flip Panometry Assessment of Peristalsis Spastic Reactive Contractile Response- RRCs



*RRCs- repetitive retrograde contractions of*  $\geq 6$  *axial length occurring at a rate of* >9 *contractions per minute- this example had type III achalasia on HRM* 



Sustained LES Contraction (-SLESC)- sustained reduced LES diameter with increased FLIP pressure for >5s independent of esophageal body contractionthis example had hypercontractility and small HH on HRM

## Flip Panometry Assessment of Peristalsis Spastic Reactive Contractile Response-SOC



Sustained Occluding Contraction (SOC)- non-propagating occluding contraction in continuity with the EGJ for >10s – this example had type III achalasia on HRM

## HRM vs FLIP Panometry Classification of Peristalsis



Panometry Response Pattern

Panometry Assessment of EGJ Obstruction among Contractile Response Classifications: Normal Contractile Response (NCR)



Panometry Assessment of EGJ Obstruction among Contractile Response Classifications: Borderline Contractile Response (BCR)



Panometry Assessment of EGJ Obstruction among Contractile Response Classifications: Impaired/Disordered Contractile Response (IDCR)



Panometry Assessment of EGJ Obstruction among Contractile Response Classifications: Absent Contractile Response (ACR)



Carlson DA, et al. Neurogastroenterol Mot 2021: In Press

Panometry Assessment of EGJ Obstruction among Contractile Response Classifications: Spastic-Reactive Contractile Response (SRCR)



Carlson DA, et al. Neurogastroenterol Mot 2021: In Press

## Flip Panometry Classification of 2° Peristalsis Based on 706 patients and 35 controls

- Transition from normality (NCR with RACs) to abnormality (BCR, ACR) paralleling 1° peristaltic function assessed on HRM (normal, IEM, absent contractility)
  - Some discordance is observed: Panometry detects non-occluding contractions
- Abnormal spastic motor contractions (IDCR, SRCR) are observed
  - SOCs are better than RRCs to differentiate spastic (type III) and non-spastic achalasia
  - RRCs, SOCs, and sLESCs are observed in patients with spastic motor findings on HRM, hiatus hernia, and epiphrenic diverticula suggesting that when seen, these merit further evaluation
- HRM and FLIP Panometry are complementary evaluations of esophageal motor function

A normal contractile response on FLIP panometry in the setting of a normal endoscopy effectively excludes achalasia

# FLIP in the evaluation of dysphagia and EMDs *Conclusions*

- Easily done in conjunction with sedated endoscopy
- Equal to (or better than) HRM in detecting achalasia
- Objective measure of treatment efficacy in achalasia
- Provides functional assessment of EGJOO irrespective of IRP
- Potential to replace HRM in the detection of EMDs

