Hiatal Hernia: The Nemesis of Antireflux Surgery

- and why you should know about Hill sutures!

Ralph W. Aye MD FACS

Division of Thoracic Surgery, Swedish Medical Center



Seattle, WA



American Foregut Society Nashville, TN September 23-26, 2021



Conflict of interest

• Nothing to disclose

Outline

- Our nemesis
- Radial tension
- Axial tension
- Hill sutures
- The phrenoesophageal membrane

Our Nemesis





Our Real Nemesis – Transdiaphragmatic Pressure Gradient!





Physiology of Hiatal Hernia

- Factors increasing intra-adominal pressure
 - Obesity
 - Pregnancy
 - Straining
 - Coughing
 - Trauma
- Age, nutrition, immunosuppression

Hiatal hernia size correlates with severity of reflux

Displacement of LES Loss of intra-abd pressure **Transient relaxation LES** Laxity of PEM Loss of diaphragmatic support Decreased esophageal clearance Loss of flap valve





Kahrilas Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol 2008; 22(4): 601-616 Hansdotter Endosc Int Open 2016;4(3): E311-317 Hiatal hernia size correlates with operative complexity

- Complication rate
- Short esophagus
- Age and comorbidity

Hiatal hernia size correlates with failure after repair

- Paraesophageal hernia: ~50% recurrence at 5-10 years
- Single institution study and others
 - independent correlation with preoperative size of hernia

Oelschlager J Am Coll Surg 2011;213(4):461-8 Armijo J Gastrointest Surg 2019;23(4): 696-701

The enemies of repair: -tension vectors

- Radial tension
- Lateral tension
- Axial tension
- Pressure
 - Transdiaphragm
 - Intragastric
 - Intraabdominal



Radial tension and reduction



Bradley Surg Endosc 2014

Hiatal shape, tension



Teardrop

Bradley Surg Endosc 2014

Reducing radial/lateral tension

Relaxing incision

- Reduces hiatal tension
- Reduces hiatal recurrence
- Adds time, cost, morbidity
- Only used selectively

Mesh

- Biologic vs permanent
- Location
- Time, cost, ? Risk of erosion

Reconstruction of phrenoesophageal membrane?

Reducing axial tension

Maneuvers

- High mobilization
- Collis gastroplasty
- Vagus nerve division
- Hill sutures

Esophageal lengthening

- Reduces axial tension
- Adds time, cost, morbidity
- Alters physiology
- Effectiveness and necessity is disputed

Hill Sutures

- The ONLY repair sutures which anchor the GE junction, rather than the fundus or the esophagus, intra-abdominally
- Unloads axial tension



Anatomy of the gastroesophageal junction and placement of Hill sutures



Hill repair - in a nutshell

- Not exactly a posterior gastropexy —think *Valvuloplasty*
- Simple!
 - Sling fibers of GEJ to:
 - Median arcuate ligament open repair
 - Pre-aortic fascia laparoscopic repair

Hill repair – suture placement and re-creation of GE valve





Open Hill repair @ 25 yrs

• In 1181 patients undergoing open Hill repair

with median follow up of 9.98 years:

- 93% of patients had good or excellent results
- 22% were on PPI's
- 2.5% required GEJ re-operation
- Open Hill repair was successfully performed by surgeons not trained by Hill

Surgical Endoscopy 2018

Laparoscopic Hill repair: 25-year follow-up

Yeseul Park1 · Ralph W. Aye1 · Jeffrey R. Watkins1 · Alex S. Farivar1 · Brian E. Louie1 Received: 29 July 2017 / Accepted: 21 March 2018

• LHR with at least 5 yrs f/u = 727; 648 GERD, 79 PEH

-242 responders (38%)

- Median f/u 18.5 yrs
- Good/excellent symptomatic outcome = 85%
- Daily PPI use = 30%
- Reoperation for failure 9.9% (9.9% GERD, 10.3% PEH)
 Park 2018. Surg Endosc; 32(10): 4111-4115

Adding Hill Sutures

- As a structural addition to other repairs
- No need for manometry
- Precise location is less critical
- Preaortic fascia is pretty safe
- ? no increase in side effects or complications

Nissen-Hill hybrid

Hill sutures to keep the Nissen from herniating



Nissen wrap to keep the Hill sutures from loosening



<u>J Gastrointest Surg.</u> 2017 Jan; 21(1):121-125

A Combined Nissen Plus Hill Hybrid Repair for Paraesophageal Hernia Improves Clinical Outcomes and Reduces Long-Term Recurrences Compared with Laparoscopic Nissen Alone. Levy G¹, Aye RW^{2,3}, Farivar AS¹, Louie BE¹.

N= 70	Hybrid	Nissen	P value	
	N=39 (%)	N=31 (%)		
Median f/u	61mo	62mo		
Anatomic Recurrence	2 (5%)	14 (45%)	0.002	
Surgical revision	1(2.6%)	3 (9.7%)	0.2	
				SWEDISH DIGESTIVE HEA

INSTITUTE

Tri-Comparison, Nissen, Hill, Hybrid uncomplicated reflux disease (13, 19 and 25 mo f/u)

	Hill (56)	Nissen (46)	Hybrid (51)	P - value
QOLRAD	6.24	6.24	6.60	0.247
Swallow	38.1	37.2	41.2	0.270
DeMeester	10.89	6.58	6.69	0.359
Recurrence	4	5	2	0.63
Re-ops	3	2	0	
PPI use	5.9%	5-7%	7-3%	0.97

Schneider J Gastrointest Surg 2017

Managing short esophagus

A Hill Gastropexy Combined with Nissen Fundoplication Appears Equivalent to a Collis-Nissen in the Management of Short Esophagus Oliver C. Bellevue, Brian E. Louie, Zeljka Jutric, Alexander S. Farivar, Ralph W. Aye

Retrospective study w case-matched controls; 26 mo f/u

	Hill Nissen N=30 (%)	Collis-Nissen N=27(%)	Nissen Control (not short; N=105)	P-Value
esophagitis	16%	18%	10%	0.56
DeMeester	11.1	19.1	14.2	0.49
pH<4	2.6	5-3	4.1	0.33
Complications	18%	16%`	19%	0.78
Recurrence	11.7%	5.5%	7%	0.43
Reoperation	4%	4%	3%	0.89
GERD HRQL	6.8	6.7	6.4	0.3
PPI use	16%	22%	15%	0.56

Bellevue J Gastrointest Surg 2017

Gastric sleeve combined with Hill repair



Gero – World J Surg 2017; 41(4):1035-39

Hill sutures and bariatrics

- Chow 3 pts w + pH, 3.7 yrs after bypass
 - Re-op w Hill sutures added
 - Good Sx resolution but short f/u
- Gero 14 pts w GERD undergoing sleeve
 - 5 w gastric band, 12 w HH
 - Postop GERD 3/14; PPI use 1/14

Chow – SAGES abstract 2015 Gero – World J Surg 2017; 41(4):1035-39

Our nemesis, undeterred – The Hiatus!!



Recurrence following Nissen-Hill hybrid
 – fundus herniation!



The disrupted phrenoesophageal membrane



Pediatric experience

- Minimal vs maximal esoph dissection RCT
 - LNF, std hiatal dissection vs none
 - 177 patients, 74% f/u @ median 6.5 yrs
 - Herniation: 12.2% vs 36.5%
 - Rates in both groups increased over time
 - 2.8% to 12.2%; 22.7% to 36.5%

Desai JI Ped Surg 50; 2015 111-114

Reconstructing the PEL 24 dogs, artificial PEL <u>+</u> hiatal closure

Group 1 open: 4/4 HH

Group 2 PEL: 25% PEH

Group 3 PEL + closure: no hernia

Group 4 closure only: 29% *sliding* HH

Sader Dis Esoph 29;192-6, 2016



Artificial Phrenoesophageal membrane?





Summary

- There are intrinsic persistent forces affecting the esophageal hiatus and anti-reflux procedures which every proceduralist must take into account.
- Hill sutures effectively address axial tension but the hiatus remains problematic and is an area in high need of investigation.



